arXiv:1510.07838v1 [math.AP] 27 Oct 2015

Supersolutions for a class of nonlinear parabolic systems

Kazuhiro Ishige
Mathematical Institute, Tohoku University
Aoba, Sendai 980-8578, Japan,

Tatsuki Kawakami
Department of Mathematical Sciences
Osaka Prefecture University
Sakai 599-8531, Japan

and

Mikołaj Sierżęga
Faculty of Mathematics, Informatics and Mechanics
University of Warsaw
Banacha 2, 02-097 Warsaw, Poland

Abstract

In this paper, by using scalar nonlinear parabolic equations, we construct supersolutions for a class of nonlinear parabolic systems including

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u + v^p, & x \in \Omega,\ t > 0, \\ \partial_t v = \Delta v + u^q, & x \in \Omega,\ t > 0, \\ u = v = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega,\ t > 0, \\ (u(x,0), v(x,0)) = (u_0(x), v_0(x)), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $p \geq 0$, $q \geq 0$, Ω is a (possibly unbounded) smooth domain in $\mathbf{R}^N$ and both u_0 and v_0 are nonnegative and locally integrable functions in Ω. The supersolutions enable us to obtain optimal sufficient conditions for the existence of the solutions and optimal lower estimates of blow-up rate of the solutions.

1 Introduction

This paper is concerned with nonnegative solutions of nonlinear parabolic systems. Nonlinear parabolic systems have been studied intensively for more than 20 years. See [1]–[4], [6]–[11], [13], [16]–[18], [21, 23, 24] and references therein (see [19] for a survey). Their analysis is generally more complicated than that of scalar nonlinear parabolic equations. In this paper, by using scalar nonlinear parabolic equations, we construct supersolutions of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u + v^p, & x \in \Omega, \ \ t > 0, \\ \partial_t v = \Delta v + u^q, & x \in \Omega, \ \ t > 0, \\ u = v = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \ \ t > 0, \\ (u(x,0), v(x,0)) = (u_0(x), v_0(x)), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $p \geq 0$, $q \geq 0$, Ω is a (possibly unbounded) smooth domain in $\mathbf{R}^N$ ($N \geq 1$) and both u_0 and v_0 are nonnegative and locally integrable functions in Ω. These supersolutions enable us to obtain optimal sufficient conditions for the existence of local-in-time solutions and global-in-time solutions. Our arguments are simple and applicable to various nonlinear parabolic systems without complicated calculations due to combinations of power and exponential nonlinearities.

Problem (1.1) is an example of a simple reaction-diffusion system that can be used as a model to describe heat propagation in a two component combustible mixture. There are several results on the existence of solutions of (1.1). Here we recall the following well-known results, which were proved in [1, 8, 9, 18] (see also [19, Section 32]).

(A) Let $p, q \geq 1$ and $r_1, r_2 \in (1, \infty)$. Assume

$$\max\{P(r_1, r_2), Q(r_1, r_2)\} \leq 2,$$

where

$$P(r_1, r_2) := N\left(\frac{p}{r_2} - \frac{1}{r_1}\right), \qquad Q(r_1, r_2) := N\left(\frac{q}{r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2}\right).$$

Then, for any $(u_0, v_0) \in L^{r_1}(\Omega) \times L^{r_2}(\Omega)$, problem (1.1) possesses a local-in-time solution.

(B) Let $0 < pq \leq 1$. Then problem (1.1) possesses a global-in-time solution for any $(u_0, v_0) \in L^\infty(\Omega) \times L^\infty(\Omega)$.

(C) Let $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^N$ and $pq > 1$. If

$$\frac{\max\{p, q\} + 1}{pq - 1} < \frac{N}{2}, \tag{1.2}$$

then problem (1.1) possesses a global-in-time positive solution provided that $(u_0, v_0) \not\equiv (0, 0)$ and both $\|u_0\|_{L^{r_1^*}(\Omega)}$ and $\|v_0\|_{L^{r_2^*}(\Omega)}$ are sufficiently small, where

$$r_1^* := \frac{N}{2}\frac{pq - 1}{p + 1}, \qquad r_2^* := \frac{N}{2}\frac{pq - 1}{q + 1}. \tag{1.3}$$

(D) Let $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^N$ and $pq > 1$. If $(u_0, v_0) \not\equiv (0,0)$ and

$$\frac{\max\{p,q\}+1}{pq-1} \geq \frac{N}{2},$$

then problem (1.1) admits no global-in-time positive solution.

The optimality of assumption (1.2) in (C) follows from (D).

In this paper we aim to construct supersolutions for a class of nonlinear parabolic systems including (1.1) and to use them to deduce sufficient conditions for the existence of local-in-time solutions. In particular, our results for problem (1.1) stated in Section 3 improve on (A)–(C). Furthermore, as an application of these sufficient conditions, we obtain lower estimates on the blow-up rate for the solutions of (1.1). In Subsection 3.2 and Section 4 we address some possible generalizations to other nonlinear parabolic systems.

Let us now outline the construction of supersolutions. Given (u, v) a positive (classical) solution of (1.1), we begin by setting $U := u^\alpha$ and $V := v^\beta$, where $\alpha \geq 1$ and $\beta \geq 1$. Then (U, V) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U = \Delta U + \alpha U^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} V^{\frac{p}{\beta}} - \dfrac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}\dfrac{|\nabla U|^2}{U}, & x \in \Omega,\ t > 0, \\ \partial_t V = \Delta V + \beta V^{1-\frac{1}{\beta}} U^{\frac{q}{\alpha}} - \dfrac{\beta-1}{\beta}\dfrac{|\nabla V|^2}{V}, & x \in \Omega,\ t > 0, \\ U = V = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega,\ t > 0, \\ (U(x,0), V(x,0)) = (u_0(x)^\alpha, v_0(x)^\beta), & x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

Let $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{V})$ be a positive solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{U} = \Delta \tilde{U} + \alpha \tilde{U}^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \tilde{V}^{\frac{p}{\beta}}, & x \in \Omega,\ t > 0, \\ \partial_t \tilde{V} = \Delta \tilde{V} + \beta \tilde{V}^{1-\frac{1}{\beta}} \tilde{U}^{\frac{q}{\alpha}}, & x \in \Omega,\ t > 0, \\ \tilde{U} = \tilde{V} = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega,\ t > 0, \\ (\tilde{U}(x,0), \tilde{V}(x,0)) = (u_0(x)^\alpha, v_0(x)^\beta), & x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

Since $\alpha \geq 1$ and $\beta \geq 1$, by (1.4) and (1.5) we see that (U, V) is a subsolution of (1.5). It follows from the comparison principle that

$$\tilde{U}(x,t) \geq u(x,t)^\alpha, \qquad \tilde{V}(x,t) \geq v(x,t)^\beta, \qquad x \in \Omega,\ t > 0.$$

Let $\overline{w}$ be a solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w = \Delta w + \alpha w^A + \beta w^B, & x \in \Omega,\ t > 0, \\ w = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega,\ t > 0, \\ w(x,0) = u_0(x)^\alpha + v_0(x)^\beta, & x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$A := 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{p}{\beta}, \qquad B := 1 - \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{q}{\alpha}.$$

Then $(\overline{w},\overline{w})$ is a supersolution of (1.5). This implies that $(\overline{w}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}},\overline{w}^{\frac{1}{\beta}})$ is a supersolution of (1.1). Therefore, by the comparison principle we obtain

$$0 \le u(x,t)^{\alpha} \le \overline{w}(x,t), \qquad 0 \le v(x,t)^{\beta} \le \overline{w}(x,t), \qquad x \in \Omega,\ t > 0. \tag{1.7}$$

This supersolution with a suitable choice of α and β enables us to obtain sufficient conditions for the existence of local-in-time solutions and global-in-time solutions of problem (1.1). Compared with the results in [8, 9, 18], we see that our sufficient conditions are optimal. By similar arguments we can construct supersolutions of various nonlinear parabolic systems systematically and give sufficient conditions for the existence of solutions. See Subsection 3.2 and Section 4.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce notation and prove some lemmas on the existence of the solutions of (1.1) and a scalar nonlinear parabolic equation. In Section 3 we prove two theorems on the existence of the solutions of (1.1) that improve on (A)–(C). Furthermore, we give lower estimates on the blow-up rate for the solutions. In Section 4 we apply our techniques to parabolic systems with strongly coupled nonlinearities.

2 Preliminaries

We introduce some notation and define the notion of solution for (1.1). Furthermore, we prove some preliminary lemmas. In what follows, C denotes a generic constant.

Let $1 \le r \le \infty$. We define the spaces $L^{r,\infty}(\Omega)$ and $L^{r,\infty}_{\mathrm{uloc}}(\Omega)$. Let f be a measurable function in a smooth domain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^N$. Setting $f = 0$ outside of Ω, we define

$$\mu(\lambda) := \left|\{x \in \mathbf{R}^N \,:\, |f(x)| > \lambda\}\right|, \qquad \lambda \ge 0,$$

i.e. the distribution function of f. Furthermore, we define the non-increasing rearrangement of f by

$$f^*(s) := \inf\{\lambda > 0 \,:\, \mu(\lambda) \le s\}.$$

Then the spherical rearrangement of f is defined by

$$f^\sharp(x) := f^*(c_N|x|^N),$$

where c_N is the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbf{R}^N$. We define

$$L^{r,\infty}(\Omega) := \left\{f \,:\, f \text{ is measurable in } \Omega,\ \|f\|_{L^{r,\infty}(\Omega)} < \infty\right\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{L^{r,\infty}(\Omega)} := \sup_{s>0} s^{1/r} f^{**}(s), \qquad f^{**}(s) := \frac{1}{s}\int_0^s f^*(r)\,dr.$$

Then the following holds (see e.g., [12, Section 1.1]).

- Let $1 < r < \infty$. Then $f \in L^{r,\infty}(\Omega)$ if and only if

$$0 \le f^\sharp(x) \le C|x|^{-N/r}, \qquad x \in \mathbf{R}^N. \tag{2.1}$$

- $L^r(\Omega) \subset L^{r,\infty}(\Omega)$ and $L^r(\Omega) \neq L^{r,\infty}(\Omega)$ if $1 < r < \infty$ and $L^{r,\infty}(\Omega) = L^r(\Omega)$ if $r \in \{1, \infty\}$. Furthermore,
$$\|f\|_{L^{r,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \|f\|_{L^r(\Omega)}$$
for any $f \in L^r(\Omega)$, where $1 \leq r \leq \infty$.

- Let $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ and let $\{r_j\}_{j=1}^k \subset [1, \infty]$ be such that
$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{r_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{r_k}.$$
Then
$$\left\| \prod_{j=1}^k f_j \right\|_{L^{r,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C \prod_{j=1}^k \|f_j\|_{L^{r_j,\infty}(\Omega)} \tag{2.2}$$
for $f_j \in L^{r_j,\infty}(\Omega)$ and $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$.

For any $x \in \mathbf{R}^N$ and $R > 0$, we put $B(x, R) := \{y \in \mathbf{R}^N : |x - y| < R\}$. We define
$$L^{r,\infty}_{\rm uloc}(\Omega) := \left\{ f \in L^r_{\rm loc}(\Omega) \, : \, \sup_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} \|f\|_{L^{r,\infty}(\Omega \cap B(x,1))} < \infty \right\} \quad \text{if} \quad 1 \leq r < \infty,$$
$$L^{r,\infty}_{\rm uloc}(\Omega) := L^\infty(\Omega) \quad \text{if} \quad r = \infty.$$

For any $\rho > 0$, we set
$$|||f|||_{r,\rho} := \begin{cases} \sup\limits_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} \|f\|_{L^{r,\infty}(\Omega \cap B(x,\rho))} & \text{if} \quad 1 \leq r < \infty, \\ \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} & \text{if} \quad r = \infty, \end{cases}$$
which are equivalent norms of $L^{r,\infty}_{\rm uloc}(\Omega)$.

We denote by $S(t)$ the Dirichlet heat semigroup on Ω. Then, for any $\phi \in L^r(\Omega)$ $(r \geq 1)$, $v(t) := S(t)\phi$ represents the unique bounded solution of
$$\partial_t v = \Delta v \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \times (0, \infty), \quad v = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \quad v(x, 0) = \phi(x) \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega.$$

We first show the following.

Lemma 2.1 *There exists a positive constant $c_* > 0$ such that*
$$\|S(t)\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq c_* t^{-\frac{N}{2r}} |||\varphi|||_{r,\rho}, \qquad 0 < t \leq \rho^2, \tag{2.3}$$
for any $\varphi \in L^{r,\infty}_{\rm uloc}(\Omega)$, where $1 \leq r \leq \infty$.

Proof. Let $\{z_j\} \subset \mathbf{R}^N$ be such that
$$\mathbf{R}^N = \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty B(z_j, 1), \qquad \sum_{j=1}^\infty e^{-\frac{|z_j|^2}{8}} < \infty. \tag{2.4}$$

Set $u(x,t) := S(t)\varphi$ and $u_\lambda(x,t) := u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t)$ for $\lambda > 0$. Let

$$\Gamma(x,y,t) := (4\pi t)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}\right).$$

It follows from the comparison principle and (2.4) that

$$|u_\lambda(x,1)| \le \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \Gamma(x,y,1)|u_\lambda(y,0)|\,dy \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{B(x+z_j,1)} \Gamma(x,y,1)|u_\lambda(y,0)|\,dy$$

for $x \in \lambda^{-1}\Omega$. This together with (2.2) and (2.4) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
|u(\lambda x, \lambda^2)| = |u_\lambda(x,1)| &\le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\Gamma(x,\cdot,1)\|_{L^{r',\infty}(B(x+z_j,1))} \|u_\lambda(0)\|_{L^{r,\infty}(B(x+z_j,1)} \\
&\le C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\Gamma(x,\cdot,1)\|_{L^{\infty}(B(x+z_j,1))} |||u_\lambda(0)|||_{r,1} \\
&\le C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{|z_j|^2}{8}} |||u_\lambda(0)|||_{r,1} \le C|||u_\lambda(0)|||_{r,1},
\end{aligned}$$

where $1 \le r \le \infty$ and $1 \le r' \le \infty$ with $1/r + 1/r' = 1$. Then we have

$$\|u(\lambda^2)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \le C|||u_\lambda(0)|||_{r,1} \le C\lambda^{-\frac{N}{r}} |||\varphi|||_{r,\lambda}.$$

Therefore, setting $\lambda = t^{1/2}$, we obtain

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \le Ct^{-\frac{N}{2r}} |||\varphi|||_{r,t^{1/2}} \le Ct^{-\frac{N}{2r}} |||\varphi|||_{r,\rho}$$

for all $t \in (0, \rho^2]$ and $\rho > 0$, which implies (2.3). □

Next we define the solution of (1.1) in $\Omega \times (0,T)$, where $0 < T \le \infty$. Let u and v be nonnegative measurable functions in $\Omega \times (0,T)$ such that

$$u, v \in L^\infty(\tau, T-\tau : L^\infty(\Omega))$$

for all $\tau \in (0, T/2)$. We say that (u,v) is a subsolution of (1.1) in $\Omega \times (0,T)$ if (u,v) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
u(x,t) &\le [S(t)u_0](x) + \int_0^t [S(t-s)v(s)^p](x)\,ds < \infty, \\
v(x,t) &\le [S(t)v_0](x) + \int_0^t [S(t-s)u(s)^q](x)\,ds < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

for almost all $x \in \Omega$ and $t \in (0,T)$. Similarly, we say that (u,v) is a supersolution of (1.1) in $\Omega \times (0,T)$ if (u,v) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\infty > u(x,t) &\ge [S(t)u_0](x) + \int_0^t [S(t-s)v(s)^p](x)\,ds, \\
\infty > v(x,t) &\ge [S(t)v_0](x) + \int_0^t [S(t-s)u(s)^q](x)\,ds,
\end{aligned}$$

for almost all $x \in \Omega$ and $t \in (0,T)$. Furthermore, we say that (u,v) is a solution of (1.1) in $\Omega \times (0,T)$ if (u,v) is a subsolution and a supersolution of (1.1) in $\Omega \times (0,T)$. Similarly, we define solutions, supersolutions and subsolutions of problem (1.6).

Lemma 2.2 *Let $0 < T \le \infty$. Then problem (1.1) possesses a solution in $\Omega \times (0,T)$ if and only if problem (1.1) possesses a supersolution in $\Omega \times (0,T)$. This also holds true for problem (1.6).*

Proof. Let $0 < T \le \infty$. It suffices to prove that the existence of a supersolution of (1.1) implies the existence of a solution of (1.1). Let $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ be a supersolution of (1.1) in $\Omega \times (0,T)$. Set $u_1 = S(t)u_0$ and $v_1(t) = S(t)v_0$. Define (u_n, v_n) $(n = 2, 3, \dots)$ inductively by

$$u_n(t) = S(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s)v_{n-1}(s)^p \, ds, \quad v(t) = S(t)v_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s)u_{n-1}(s)^q \, ds. \tag{2.5}$$

Then it follows inductively that

$$0 \le u_1 \le u_2 \le \cdots \le u_n \le \cdots \le \tilde{u} < \infty, \quad 0 \le v_1 \le v_2 \le \cdots \le v_n \le \cdots \le \tilde{v} < \infty,$$

for almost all $x \in \Omega$ and $t \in (0,T)$. This means that

$$u(x,t) := \lim_{n\to\infty} u_n(x,t), \qquad v(x,t) := \lim_{n\to\infty} v_n(x,t),$$

exist for almost all $x \in \Omega$ and $t \in (0,T)$. Furthermore, by (2.5) we see that (u,v) is a solution of (1.1) in $\Omega \times (0,T)$. Similarly, we obtain the desired conclusion for problem (1.6). Thus Lemma 2.2 follows. □

Applying the comparison principle and Lemma 2.2, we obtain the following.

Lemma 2.3 *Assume that there exists a solution of (1.6) in $\Omega \times (0,T)$ for some $\alpha \ge 1$ and $\beta \ge 1$, where $0 < T \le \infty$. Then problem (1.1) possesses a solution in $\Omega \times (0,T)$.*

The end of this section we give sufficient conditions for the existence of the solutions of (1.6) by employing the argument in [22].

Lemma 2.4 *Let $A \ge 0$, $B \ge 0$, $\alpha \ge 1$ and $\beta \ge 1$. Put $w_0 = u_0^\alpha + v_0^\beta$.*

(i) *Suppose $\max\{A,B\} \le 1$. Then, for any nonnegative function $w_0 \in L^1_{\rm loc}(\Omega)$ satisfying $S(t)w_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ for all $t > 0$, problem (1.6) possesses a global-in-time solution.*

(ii) *Otherwise, let $\max\{A,B\} \ge 1$. Then the following holds.*

(a) *Let $1 \le \sigma \le \max\{A,B\}$ be such that $w_0 \in L^\sigma_{\rm loc}(\Omega)$ and $S(t)w_0^\sigma \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ for all $t > 0$. Then there exist positive constants γ_1 and T_1 such that, if*

$$\sup_{0<t\le T}\left[\|S(t)w_0^\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{1-\frac{1}{\sigma}} \int_0^t \|S(s)w_0^\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\frac{\max\{A,B\}}{\sigma}-1} \, ds \right] \le \gamma_1 \tag{2.6}$$

for some $T > 0$, then problem (1.6) possesses a solution w in $\Omega \times (0, \min\{T, T_1\}]$ such that

$$0 \le w(x,t) \le 2[S(t)w_0^\sigma]^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} + 2t \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \times (0, \min\{T, T_1\}].$$

(b) *Let $1 \le \sigma \le \min\{A, B\}$ be such that $w_0 \in L^\sigma_{\rm loc}(\Omega)$ and $S(t)w_0^\sigma \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ for all $t > 0$. Then there exists a positive constant γ_2 such that, if*

$$\sup_{0<t\le T}\left[\|S(t)w_0^\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{1-\frac{1}{\sigma}} \int_0^t \left\{ \|S(s)w_0^\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\frac{A}{\sigma}-1} + \|S(s)w_0^\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\frac{B}{\sigma}-1} \right\} ds \right] \le \gamma_2$$

for some $T > 0$, then problem (1.6) possesses a solution w in $\Omega \times (0, T]$ such that

$$0 \le w(x,t) \le 2[S(t)w_0^\sigma]^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \times (0,T].$$

Proof. We first prove assertion (ii)-(a). Let γ_1 be a sufficiently small positive constant and assume (2.6). Set

$$\overline{w}(t) := 2[S(t)w_0^\sigma]^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} + 2t. \tag{2.7}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} F[\overline{w}](t) &:= S(t)w_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s)[\alpha \overline{w}(s)^A + \beta \overline{w}(s)^B]\, ds \\ &\le [S(t)w_0^\sigma]^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} + \int_0^t S(t-s)\left[1 + C\overline{w}(s)^{\max\{A,B\}}\right] ds \\ &\le [S(t)w_0^\sigma]^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} + t + C\int_0^t S(t-s)\overline{w}(s)^{\max\{A,B\}}\, ds, \qquad t > 0, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} F[\overline{w}](t) &\le \frac{1}{2}\overline{w}(t) + C\int_0^t S(t-s)\overline{w}(s)^{\max\{A,B\}} ds \\ &\le \frac{1}{2}\overline{w}(t) + C\int_0^t S(t-s)\left[[S(s)w_0^\sigma]^{\frac{\max\{A,B\}}{\sigma}} + s^{\max\{A,B\}} \right] ds \\ &\le \frac{1}{2}\overline{w}(t) + C\int_0^t S(t-s)\, \|S(s)w_0^\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\frac{\max\{A,B\}}{\sigma}-1} S(s)w_0^\sigma\, ds + Ct^{1+\max\{A,B\}} \\ &\le \frac{1}{2}\overline{w}(t) + C\left[\int_0^t \|S(s)w_0^\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\frac{\max\{A,B\}}{\sigma}-1} ds \right] S(t)w_0^\sigma + Ct^{1+\max\{A,B\}} \end{aligned}$$

for all $t > 0$. Then it follows from (2.6) that

$$F[\overline{w}](t) \le \frac{1}{2}\overline{w}(t) + C\gamma_1[S(t)w_0^\sigma]^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} + Ct^{1+\max\{A,B\}}$$

for all $t \in (0, T]$. Therefore, taking a sufficiently small γ_1 if necessary, we can find a constant $\tau \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$F[\overline{w}](t) \le \overline{w}, \qquad t \in (0, \min\{T, \tau\}].$$

This implies that $\overline{w}$ is a supersolution of (1.6) in $\Omega \times (0, \min\{T, \tau\}]$. Then assertion (ii)-(a) follows from Lemma 2.2. Similarly, setting

$$\overline{w}(t) := 2[S(t)w_0^\sigma]^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}$$

instead of (2.7), we have assertion (ii)-(b).

It remans to prove assertion (i). Since $0 \le A, B \le 1$, it follows that

$$\alpha x^A + \beta x^B \le (\alpha + \beta)(x + 1)$$

for any $x > 0$. This implies that

$$e^{(\alpha+\beta)t} S(t) w_0 + e^{(\alpha+\beta)t} - 1$$

is a supersolution of (1.6) in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$. Then assertion (i) follows from Lemma 2.2. □

3 Weakly coupled nonlinear parabolic systems

In this section, using supersolutions constructed in Section 1 and applying Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we study the existence of local-in-time solutions and global-in-time solutions of (1.1). Furthermore, we obtain lower estimates on the blow-up rate for the solutions.

3.1 Existence of the solutions

We first give sufficient conditions for the existence of the solutions of (1.1) by using uniformly local $L^{r,\infty}$ spaces. In this subsection, we write

$$P = P(r_1, r_2) := N\left(\frac{p}{r_2} - \frac{1}{r_1}\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad Q = Q(r_1, r_2) := N\left(\frac{q}{r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2}\right)$$

for simplicity.

Theorem 3.1 *Let u_0 and v_0 be nonnegative measurable functions in Ω such that $u_0 \in L^{r_1,\infty}_{\mathrm{uloc}}(\Omega)$ and $v_0 \in L^{r_2,\infty}_{\mathrm{uloc}}(\Omega)$, where $r_1, r_2 \in [1, \infty)$.*

(i) *Let $\max\{P, Q\} \le 0$. Then there exists a global-in-time solution of (1.1).*

(ii) *Let $W_0 := u_0^{r_1} + v_0^{r_2}$. Assume $0 < \max\{P, Q\} \le 2$ and $1 < r \le \min\{r_1, r_2\}$. Then there exists $\sigma_* > 1$ with the following property: for any $1 < \sigma \le \sigma_*$, there exist positive constants γ_1 and T_1 such that, if*

$$|||W_0|||_{1,\rho} \le \gamma_1 \rho^{N\left(1 - \frac{2}{\max\{P,Q\}}\right)} \tag{3.1}$$

for some $\rho > 0$, then problem (1.1) possesses a solution (u, v) in $\Omega \times (0, \min\{\rho^2, T_1\}]$ satisfying

$$0 \le u(x,t)^{r_1} + v(x,t)^{r_2} \le C[S(t) W_0^{\frac{\sigma}{r}}](x)^{\frac{r}{\sigma}} + C \tag{3.2}$$

in $\Omega \times (0, \min\{\rho^2, T_1\}]$. Furthermore, in the case where $P > 0$ and $Q > 0$, for any $1 < \sigma \le \sigma_*$, there exists a positive constant γ_2 such that, if

$$|||W_0|||_{1,\rho} \le \gamma_2 \max\left\{\rho^{N\left(1 - \frac{2}{P}\right)}, \rho^{N\left(1 - \frac{2}{Q}\right)}\right\} \tag{3.3}$$

for some $\rho > 0$, then problem (1.1) possesses a solution in $\Omega \times (0, \rho^2]$ satisfying

$$0 \le u(x,t)^{r_1} + v(x,t)^{r_2} \le C[S(t) W_0^{\frac{\sigma}{r}}](x)^{\frac{r}{\sigma}} \tag{3.4}$$

in $\Omega \times (0, \rho^2]$.

(iii) *Let* $\max\{P,Q\}>0$. *There exist positive constants* γ_3 *and* T_2 *such that, if*

$$\int_0^T \|S(s)W_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\frac{\max\{P,Q\}}{N}}\,ds \le \gamma_3$$

for some $T>0$, *then problem* (1.1) *possesses a solution in* $\Omega\times(0,\min\{T,T_2\}]$ *satisfying*

$$0\le u(x,t)^{r_1}+v(x,t)^{r_2}\le C[S(t)W_0](x)+C$$

in $\Omega\times(0,\min\{T,T_2\}]$. *Furthermore, in the case where* $P>0$ *and* $Q>0$, *there exists a positive constant* γ_4 *such that, if*

$$\int_0^T \left\{\|S(s)W_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\frac{P}{N}}+\|S(s)W_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\frac{Q}{N}}\right\}ds\le\gamma_4,$$

then problem (1.1) *possesses a solution in* $\Omega\times(0,T]$ *satisfying*

$$0\le u(x,t)^{r_1}+v(x,t)^{r_2}\le C[S(t)W_0](x)$$

in $\Omega\times(0,T]$.

Proof. Let $1\le r\le\min\{r_1,r_2\}$. Set $\alpha:=r_1/r\ge1$ and $\beta:=r_2/r\ge1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&w_0:=u_0^\alpha+v_0^\beta=u_0^{\frac{r_1}{r}}+v_0^{\frac{r_2}{r}}\in L^{r,\infty}_{\rm uloc}(\Omega),\\
&A:=1-\frac{1}{\alpha}+\frac{p}{\beta}=1+r\left(\frac{p}{r_2}-\frac{1}{r_1}\right)=1+\frac{r}{N}P>0,\\
&B:=1-\frac{1}{\beta}+\frac{q}{\alpha}=1+r\left(\frac{q}{r_1}-\frac{1}{r_2}\right)=1+\frac{r}{N}Q>0.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.5}$$

In the case $\max\{P,Q\}\le0$, it follows from Lemma 2.4 (i) that problem (1.6) possesses a global-in-time positive solution $\overline{w}$. Then, by Lemma 2.3 we see that problem (1.1) possesses a global-in-time positive solution. Thus assertion (i) follows.

We prove assertion (ii). Let

$$1<r\le\min\{r_1,r_2\},\qquad 1<\sigma\le\max\{A,B\},\qquad \sigma\le r.$$

Since $w_0^\sigma\in L^{\frac{r}{\sigma},\infty}_{\rm uloc}(\Omega)$, by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\|S(t)w_0^\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\le c_*t^{-\frac{\sigma N}{2r}}|||w_0^\sigma|||_{\frac{r}{\sigma},\rho}\le Ct^{-\frac{\sigma N}{2r}}|||W_0|||_{1,\rho}^{\frac{\sigma}{r}},\qquad 0<t\le\rho^2.$$

This together with $\sigma>1$, $\max\{P,Q\}\le2$ and (3.1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|S(t)w_0^\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{1-\frac{1}{\sigma}}\int_0^t\|S(s)w_0^\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\frac{\max\{A,B\}}{\sigma}-1}\,ds\\
&\le\left[C|||W_0|||_{1,\rho}^{\frac{\sigma}{r}}\right]^{\frac{\max\{A,B\}-1}{\sigma}}t^{-\frac{(\sigma-1)N}{2r}}\int_0^t s^{-\frac{\max\{P,Q\}}{2}+\frac{(\sigma-1)N}{2r}}\,ds\\
&\le C|||W_0|||_{1,\rho}^{\frac{\max\{P,Q\}}{N}}t^{1-\frac{\max\{P,Q\}}{2}}\le C\gamma_1^{\frac{\max\{P,Q\}}{N}},\qquad 0<t\le\rho^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

Taking a sufficiently small γ_1 if necessary, by Lemma 2.4 (ii)-(a) we can find a positive constant T_1 such that problem (1.6) possesses a solution w in $\Omega \times (0, \min\{\rho^2, T_1\}]$ such that

$$0 < w(x,t) \le 2[S(t)w_0^\sigma]^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} + 2t \tag{3.7}$$

in $\Omega \times (0, \min\{\rho^2, T_1\}]$. Then, by Lemma 2.3 we see that problem (1.1) possesses a solution (u, v) in $\Omega \times (0, \min\{\rho^2, T_1\}]$. Furthermore, by (1.7) and (3.7) we obtain

$$\max\left\{u(x,t)^{\frac{r_1}{r}}, v(x,t)^{\frac{r_2}{r}}\right\} \le w(x,t) \le 2[S(t)w_0^\sigma]^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} + 2t \le C[S(t)W_0^{\frac{\sigma}{r}}]^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} + 2t \tag{3.8}$$

in $\Omega \times (0, \min\{\rho^2, T_1\}]$, which implies (3.2).

In the case $P > 0$ and $Q > 0$, it follows that $A > 1$ and $B > 1$. Let $1 < \sigma \le \min\{A, B\}$. Then, similarly to (3.6), by (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|S(t)w_0^\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{1-\frac{1}{\sigma}} \int_0^t \left\{ \|S(s)w_0^\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\frac{A}{\sigma}-1} + \|S(s)w_0^\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\frac{B}{\sigma}-1} \right\} ds \\
&\le C|||W_0|||_{1,\rho}^{\frac{P}{N}} t^{1-\frac{P}{2}} + C|||W_0|||_{1,\rho}^{\frac{Q}{N}} t^{1-\frac{Q}{2}} \le C(\gamma_2^{\frac{P}{N}} + \gamma_2^{\frac{Q}{N}})
\end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < t \le \rho^2$. Then, taking a sufficiently small γ_2 if necessary, by Lemma 2.4 (ii)-(b) we can find a solution w of (1.6) in $\Omega \times (0, \rho^2]$ such that

$$0 < w(x,t) \le 2[S(t)w_0^\sigma]^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \times (0, \rho^2]. \tag{3.9}$$

By Lemma 2.3 we can find a solution of (1.1) in $\Omega \times (0, \rho^2]$. Furthermore, similarly to (3.8), by (1.7) and (3.9) we obtain

$$\max\left\{u(x,t)^{\frac{r_1}{r}}, v(x,t)^{\frac{r_2}{r}}\right\} \le w(x,t) \le C[S(t)W_0^{\frac{\sigma}{r}}]^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}$$

in $\Omega \times (0, \rho^2]$, which implies (3.4). Thus assertion (ii) follows. Assertion (iii) is also proved by the same argument with $r = \sigma = 1$ as in the proof of assertion (ii) and by Lemma 2.4 (ii). Thus Theorem 3.1 follows. □

It follows that $P = Q = 2$ if and only if

$$r_1 = r_1^* := \frac{N}{2}\frac{pq-1}{p+1} \quad \text{and} \quad r_2 = r_2^* := \frac{N}{2}\frac{pq-1}{q+1}.$$

Then, by Theorem 3.1 (ii) we have

Corollary 3.1 *Assume that*

$$r_1^* := \frac{N}{2}\frac{pq-1}{p+1} > 1 \quad \textit{and} \quad r_2^* := \frac{N}{2}\frac{pq-1}{q+1} > 1.$$

Then there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that, for any nonnegative measurable functions $u_0 \in L^{r_1^*,\infty}(\Omega)$ and $v_0 \in L^{r_2^*,\infty}(\Omega)$, if

$$\|u_0\|_{L^{r_1,\infty}(\Omega)} + \|v_0\|_{L^{r_2,\infty}(\Omega)} < \delta,$$

then problem (1.1) *possesses a global-in-time solution and* (3.4) *holds in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$.*

Furthermore, by Theorem 3.1 and (2.1) we obtain

Corollary 3.2 *Let Ω be a domain in $\mathbf{R}^N$ such that $0 \in \Omega$. Let u_0 and v_0 be nonnegative measurable functions in Ω such that*

$$0 \le u_0(x) \le d|x|^{-N/r_1}, \qquad 0 \le v_0(x) \le d|x|^{-N/r_2}, \tag{3.10}$$

for all $x \in \Omega$, where $d > 0$, $r_1 > 1$ and $r_2 > 1$. In the case $\max\{P, Q\} \le 0$, problem (1.1) possesses a global-in-time solution. On the other hand, in the case $\max\{P, Q\} > 0$, there exists a constant $d_* > 0$ such that, if $0 < d \le d_*$, then the following holds.

(i) *Let $0 < \max\{P, Q\} \le 2$. Then problem (1.1) possesses a solution (u, v) in $\Omega \times (0, T)$ for some $T > 0$ satisfying*

$$0 \le u(x,t) \le C(|x| + t^2)^{-\frac{N}{r_1}} + C, \qquad 0 \le v(x,t) \le C(|x| + t^2)^{-\frac{N}{r_2}} + C,$$

in $\Omega \times (0, T)$.

(ii) *Let $P = Q = 2$. Then problem (1.1) possesses a global-in-time solution (u, v) satisfying*

$$0 \le u(x,t) \le C(|x| + t^2)^{-\frac{N}{r_1}}, \qquad 0 \le v(x,t) \le C(|x| + t^2)^{-\frac{N}{r_2}},$$

in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$.

Proof. Assume (3.10). It follows from (2.1) that $u_0 \in L^{r_1,\infty}(\Omega)$ and $v_0 \in L^{r_2,\infty}(\Omega)$. Set

$$W_0(x) := u_0^{r_1} + v_0^{r_2}.$$

For any $1 < \sigma \le r \le \min\{r_1, r_2\}$, by (3.10) we have

$$0 \le W_0(x)^{\frac{\sigma}{r}} \le Cd^\sigma |x|^{-\frac{\sigma N}{r}}, \qquad x \in \Omega,$$

which implies that

$$0 \le [S(t)W_0^{\frac{\sigma}{r}}](x) \le Cd^\sigma (|x| + t^2)^{-\frac{\sigma N}{r}}, \qquad x \in \Omega,\ t > 0.$$

Then Corollary 3.2 follows from Theorem 3.1. □

Next we give a sufficient condition for problem (1.1) to posses a global-in-time positive solution for some initial function. Assume the following.

$$\begin{cases} \text{There exists a constant } p_*(\Omega) > 1 \text{ with the following properties:} \\ \text{(i)} \quad \text{If } 1 < \min\{A, B\} \le p_*(\Omega), \text{ then problem (1.6) has no global-in-time} \\ \qquad \text{positive solutions;} \\ \text{(ii)} \quad \text{If } p_*(\Omega) < \min\{A, B\} < \infty, \text{ then problem (1.6) possesses a global-in-} \\ \qquad \text{time positive solution for some initial function.} \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

The critical exponent $p_*(\Omega)$ has been identified for various domains (see e.g., [5, 15]). In particular,

(i) $p_*(\Omega) = 1 + 2/N$ if $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^N$,

(ii) $p_*(\Omega) = 1 + 2/(N+1)$ if Ω is a half space of $\mathbf{R}^N$,

(iii) $p_*(\Omega) = 1 + 2/N$ if Ω is the exterior domain of a compact set in $\mathbf{R}^N$ and $N \geq 2$.

(See also [20] for (iii).)

Theorem 3.2 *Let Ω be a smooth domain in $\mathbf{R}^N$.*

(i) *If $pq \leq 1$, then problem* (1.1) *possesses a global-in-time solution for any initial function $(u_0, v_0) \in L^\infty(\Omega) \times L^\infty(\Omega)$.*

(ii) *Assume* (3.11). *Then problem* (1.1) *possesses a global-in-time positive solution for some initial function if*

$$\frac{pq-1}{\max\{p,q\}+1} > p_*(\Omega) - 1. \tag{3.12}$$

Proof. We prove assertion (i). Assume $pq \leq 1$. Let u_0, $v_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Let $r \geq 1$ be such that $rp \geq 1$. Then $u_0 \in L^r_{\rm uloc}(\Omega)$ and $v_0 \in L^{pr}_{\rm uloc}(\Omega)$. Furthermore,

$$P(r, pr) = 0, \qquad Q(r, pr) = \frac{N}{pr}(pq - 1) \leq 0.$$

Therefore, assertion (i) follows from Theorem 3.1 (i).

We prove assertion (ii). Assume

$$A := 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{p}{\beta} > p_*(\Omega), \qquad B := 1 - \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{q}{\alpha} > p_*(\Omega),$$

for some $\alpha \geq 1$ and $\beta \geq 1$. This is equivalent to (3.12). Then assertion (ii) follows from Lemma 2.3 and the definition of $p_*(\Omega)$. Thus the proof is complete. □

Remark 3.1 *Assertions* (B) *and* (C) *follow from Theorem* 3.2 *and the fact $p_*(\mathbf{R}^N) = 1 + 2/N$. Furthermore, assertion* (A) *follows from Theorem* 3.1. *Indeed, if $u_0 \in L^{r_1}(\Omega)$ and $v_0 \in L^{r_2}(\Omega)$ for some $r_1, r_2 \geq 1$, then*

$$\lim_{\rho \to 0} |||u_0|||_{r_1,\rho} = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \lim_{\rho \to 0} |||u_0|||_{r_2,\rho} = 0,$$

which means that (3.1) *holds for all sufficiently small $\rho > 0$. Then assertion* (A) *follows from Theorem* 3.1 (ii).

3.2 k-component weakly coupled parabolic systems

Our arguments to problem (1.1) are applicable to the k-component nonlinear parabolic system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_i = \Delta u_i + u_{i+1}^{p_i}, & x \in \Omega, \ t > 0, \\ u_i = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \ t > 0, \\ u_i(x,0) = u_{i,0}(x), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{3.13}$$

where $k \in \{1,2,3,\dots\}$, $i \in \{1,\cdots,k\}$, $p_i \ge 0$ and $u_{k+1} = u_1$. Assume that $\{u_{i,0}\}$ are nonnegative measurable functions in Ω such that $u_{i,0} \in L^{r_i,\infty}_{\rm uloc}(\Omega)$ $(i = 1,\dots,k)$, where $r_i \in [1,\infty)$. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \ge 1$ and $\alpha_{k+1} = \alpha_1$. Set $U_i = u_i^{\alpha_i}$ for $i \in \{1,\dots,k\}$ and $U_{k+1} = U_1$. Then, similarly to (1.4), we have

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U_i = \Delta U_i + \alpha_i U_i^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha_i}} U_{i+1}^{\frac{p_i}{\alpha_{i+1}}} - \dfrac{\alpha_i - 1}{\alpha_i} \dfrac{|\nabla U_i|^2}{U_i}, & x \in \Omega,\ t > 0, \\ U_i = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega,\ t > 0, \\ U_i(x,0) = u_{i,0}(x)^{\alpha_i}, & x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{3.14}$$

Set

$$A_k := \max_{1\le i\le k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_i} + \frac{p_i}{\alpha_{i+1}}\right), \qquad B_k := \min_{1\le i\le k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_i} + \frac{p_i}{\alpha_{i+1}}\right).$$

Then there exist positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \xi^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha_i}+\frac{p_i}{\alpha_{i+1}}} \le c_1 \xi^{A_k} + c_2 \xi^{B_k}, \qquad \xi \in [0,\infty).$$

Let $\overline{w}$ be a solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w = \Delta w + c_1 w^{A_k} + c_2 w^{B_k}, & x \in \Omega,\ t > 0, \\ w = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega,\ t > 0, \\ w(x,0) = \sum_{i=1}^k u_{i,0}(x)^{\alpha_i}, & x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Then it follows that

$$(\overline{w}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}}, \dots, \overline{w}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_k}})$$

is a supersolution of (3.13). Therefore we can apply the arguments in this section to (3.14) with A and B replaced by A_k and B_k, respectively. In particular, Theorem 3.1 and Corollaries 3.1 and 3.2 hold with $P(r_1,r_2)$ and $Q(r_1,r_2)$ replaced by

$$P_k(r_1,\dots,r_k) := N \max_{1\le i\le k} \left(\frac{p_i}{r_{i+1}} - \frac{1}{r_i}\right), \quad Q_k(r_1,\dots,r_k) := N \min_{1\le i\le k} \left(\frac{p_i}{r_{i+1}} - \frac{1}{r_i}\right),$$

respectively. We leave the details to the reader.

3.3 Lower estimates on the blow-up rate

As an application of the results in subsection 3.1, we give lower estimates on the blow-up rate of the solutions of (1.1) by modifying the argument in [14], which gave lower estimates of the life span of the solutions to the hear equation with a nonlinear boundary condition.

Theorem 3.3 *Let Ω be a smooth domain in $\mathbf{R}^N$ and $pq > 1$. Let (u,v) be the minimal solution of (1.1) in $\Omega \times (0,T)$, where $0 < T < \infty$, such that*

$$\limsup_{t\to T} \left[\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|v(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\right] = \infty.$$

Let r_1^* and r_2^* be constants given in (1.3) and let $\ell_* \ge 1$ be such that $\ell_* \min\{r_1^*, r_2^*\} \ge 1$. Set $\rho(t) = \sqrt{T-t}$. Then, for any $r_1 \in (\ell_* r_1^*, \infty]$ and $r_2 \in (\ell_* r_2^*, \infty]$, the following holds.

(i) *There exists a positive constant c_1 such that*

$$\liminf_{t\to T}\left\{(T-t)^{\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{r_1^*}-\frac{1}{r_1})}|||u(t)|||_{r_1,\rho(t)}+(T-t)^{\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{r_2^*}-\frac{1}{r_2})}|||v(t)|||_{r_2,\rho(t)}\right\}\geq c_1.$$

(ii) *There exists a positive constant c_2 such that*

$$\begin{cases}\limsup_{t\to T}(T-t)^{\frac{p+1}{pq-1}-\frac{N}{2r_1}}|||u(t)|||_{r_1,\rho(t)}\geq c_2,\\ \limsup_{t\to T}(T-t)^{\frac{q+1}{pq-1}-\frac{N}{2r_2}}|||v(t)|||_{r_2,\rho(t)}\geq c_2.\end{cases}$$

Proof. We first prove assertion (i). Let $\ell>\ell_*$ be such that $r_1\geq r_1':=\ell r_1^*$ and $r_2\geq r_2':=\ell r_2^*$. Then

$$P(r_1',r_2')=Q(r_1',r_2')=N\left(\frac{p}{\ell r_2^*}-\frac{1}{\ell r_1^*}\right)=\frac{2}{\ell}.$$

Since the minimal solution (u,v) blows up at $t=T$, by Theorem 3.1 (ii) we can find constants $\gamma_1>0$ and $\delta\in(0,T)$ such that

$$|||u(t)^{r_1'}|||_{1,\rho(t)}+|||v(t)^{r_2'}|||_{1,\rho(t)}>\gamma_1\rho(t)^{-N(\ell-1)} \tag{3.15}$$

for all $t\in(T-\delta,T)$. Indeed, if not, then we deduce from Theorem 3.1 (ii) that problem (1.1) possesses a solution of (1.1) not blowing up at $t=T$.

On the other hand, it follows from (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}|||u(t)^{r_1'}|||_{1,\rho(t)}&=\sup_{x\in\overline{\Omega}}\|u(t)\|^{r_1'}_{L^{r_1',\infty}(B(x,\rho(t)))}\\&\leq C(T-t)^{\frac{N}{2}\left(1-\frac{r_1'}{r_1}\right)}\sup_{x\in\Omega}\|u(t)\|^{r_1'}_{L^{r_1,\infty}(B(x,\rho(t)))}\\&=C(T-t)^{\frac{N}{2}\left(1-\frac{\ell r_1^*}{r_1}\right)}|||u(t)|||^{\ell r_1^*}_{r_1,\rho(t)}\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

and

$$|||v(t)^{r_2'}|||_{1,\rho(t)}\leq C(T-t)^{\frac{N}{2}\left(1-\frac{\ell r_2^*}{r_2}\right)}|||v(t)|||^{\ell r_2^*}_{r_2,\rho(t)} \tag{3.17}$$

for all $t\in(0,T)$. By (3.15), (3.16) and (3.17) we obtain

$$(T-t)^{-\frac{N\ell r_1^*}{2r_1}}|||u(t)|||^{\ell r_1^*}_{r_1,\rho(t)}+(T-t)^{-\frac{N\ell r_2^*}{2r_2}}|||v(t)|||^{\ell r_2^*}_{r_2,\rho(t)}\geq\gamma_2\rho(t)^{-N\ell} \tag{3.18}$$

for $t\in(T-\delta,T)$, where γ_2 is a positive constant.

Let $t\in(T-\delta,T)$ and assume that

$$(T-t)^{-\frac{N\ell r_1^*}{2r_1}}|||u(t)|||^{\ell r_1^*}_{r_1,\rho(t)}\geq(T-t)^{-\frac{N\ell r_2^*}{2r_2}}|||v(t)|||^{\ell r_2^*}_{r_2,\rho(t)}.$$

Then, by (3.18) we have

$$(T-t)^{-\frac{N\ell r_1^*}{2r_1}}|||u(t)|||_{r_1,\rho(t)}^{\ell r_1^*} \geq \frac{\gamma_2}{2}(T-t)^{-\frac{N\ell}{2}},$$

which implies that

$$|||u(t)|||_{r_1,\rho(t)} \geq \left(\frac{\gamma_2}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\ell r_1^*}} (T-t)^{-\frac{N}{2r_1^*}+\frac{N}{2r_1}} = \left(\frac{\gamma_2}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\ell r_1^*}} (T-t)^{-\frac{p+1}{pq-1}+\frac{N}{2r_1}}. \tag{3.19}$$

If not, we obtain

$$|||v(t)|||_{r_2,\rho(t)} \geq \left(\frac{\gamma_2}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\ell r_2^*}} (T-t)^{-\frac{q+1}{pq-1}+\frac{N}{2r_2}}. \tag{3.20}$$

We deduce from (3.19) and (3.20) that

$$\begin{aligned}&(T-t)^{\frac{p+1}{pq-1}-\frac{N}{2r_1}}|||u(t)|||_{r_1,\rho(t)} + (T-t)^{\frac{q+1}{pq-1}-\frac{N}{2r_2}}|||v(t)|||_{r_2,\rho(t)}\\ &\geq \min\left\{\left(\frac{\gamma_2}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\ell r_1^*}}, \left(\frac{\gamma_2}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\ell r_2^*}}\right\} > 0\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in (T-\delta, T)$, which implies assertion (i).

We prove assertion (ii) by contradiction. Let ϵ be a sufficiently small positive constant. Let $r_1 > \ell_* r_1^*$ and assume

$$\sup_{t\in(T-\delta,T)} (T-t)^{\frac{p+1}{pq-1}-\frac{N}{2r_1}}|||u(t)|||_{r_1,\rho(t)} \leq \epsilon. \tag{3.21}$$

It follows from (1.3) that

$$\frac{p+1}{pq-1} - \frac{N}{2r_1} > 0. \tag{3.22}$$

Let $r_2' := r_2^* r_1/r_1^*$. Then $r_1 > 1$, $r_2' > \ell_* r_2^* \geq 1$ and

$$\frac{N}{2}\left(\frac{q}{r_1}-\frac{1}{r_2'}\right) = \frac{Nr_1^*}{2r_1}\left(\frac{q}{r_1^*}-\frac{1}{r_2^*}\right) = \frac{r_1^*}{r_1} \in (0,1). \tag{3.23}$$

Put $\tau := T-\delta$ and $\eta := (T-t)/2$. Then, by Lemma 2.1 and (3.21)–(3.23) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&|||v(t)|||_{r_2',\rho(t)}\\ &\leq |||S(t-\tau)v(\tau)|||_{r_2',\rho(t)} + \int_\tau^t |||S(t-s)u(s)^q|||_{r_2,\rho(t)}\,ds\\ &\leq C|||v(\tau)|||_{r_2',(T-t)^{\frac12}} + C\int_\tau^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2}\left(\frac{q}{r_1}-\frac{1}{r_2'}\right)}|||u(s)|||_{r_1,\rho(t)}^q\,ds\\ &\leq C|||v(\tau)|||_{r_2',T^{\frac12}} + C\epsilon^q\left\{\int_\tau^{t-\eta} + \int_{t-\eta}^t\right\}(t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2}\left(\frac{q}{r_1}-\frac{1}{r_2'}\right)}(T-s)^{-\frac{pq+q}{pq-1}+\frac{Nq}{2r_1}}\,ds\\ &\leq C|||v(\tau)|||_{r_2',T^{\frac12}} + C\epsilon^q\Bigg[\eta^{-\frac{N}{2}\left(\frac{q}{r_1}-\frac{1}{r_2'}\right)}(T-t+\eta)^{-\frac{pq+q}{pq-1}}\int_\tau^{t-\eta}(T-s)^{\frac{Nq}{2r_1}}\,ds\\ &\qquad + (T-t)^{-\frac{pq+q}{pq-1}+\frac{Nq}{2r_1}}\int_{t-\eta}^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2}\left(\frac{q}{r_1}-\frac{1}{r_2'}\right)}\,ds\Bigg]\\ &\leq C|||v(\tau)|||_{r_2',T^{\frac12}} + C\epsilon^q(T-t)^{-\frac{q+1}{pq-1}+\frac{N}{2r_2'}}\end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < \tau < t < T$. This implies that

$$\limsup_{t\to T} (T-t)^{\frac{q+1}{pq-1}-\frac{N}{2r_2'}} |||v(t)|||_{r_2',\rho(t)} \le \epsilon^q. \tag{3.24}$$

Taking a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ if necessary, we deduce from (3.21) and (3.24) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\limsup_{t\to T} \left[(T-t)^{\frac{p+1}{pq-1}-\frac{N}{2r_1}} |||u(t)|||_{r_1,\rho(t)} + (T-t)^{\frac{q+1}{pq-1}-\frac{N}{2r_2'}} |||v(t)|||_{r_2',\rho(t)}\right]\\ &\le \epsilon + C\epsilon^q < c_1,\end{aligned}$$

where c_1 is the constant given in assertion (i). This contradicts assertion (i), which means that

$$\limsup_{t\to T} (T-t)^{\frac{p+1}{pq-1}-\frac{N}{2r_1}} \|u(t)\|_{r_1,\rho(t)} \ge c$$

for some $c > 0$. Similarly, we have

$$\limsup_{t\to T} (T-t)^{\frac{q+1}{pq-1}-\frac{N}{2r_2}} \|v(t)\|_{r_2,\rho(t)} \ge c'$$

for some $c' > 0$. Thus assertion (ii) follows and the proof of Theorem 3.3 is complete. □

It follows from Theorem 3.3 (ii) that

$$\limsup_{t\to T} (T-t)^{\frac{p+1}{pq-1}} \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} > 0, \quad \limsup_{t\to T} (T-t)^{\frac{q+1}{pq-1}} \|v(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} > 0. \tag{3.25}$$

(See also [24, Proposition 3.4].) For upper estimates of blow-up rate of the solutions of (1.1), see e.g., [1, 2, 4, 7, 19], which show that (3.25) gives the optimal lower estimate on the blow-up rate of the solutions.

4 Parabolic systems with strongly coupled nonlinearities

In this section we apply the methods of Sections 2 and 3 to obtain sufficient conditions for the existence of the solutions for parabolic systems with strongly coupled nonlinearities.

4.1 Strongly coupled power nonlinearities

Consider the parabolic system with strongly coupled power nonlineaities

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u + u^{p_1} v^{p_2}, & x \in \Omega,\ t > 0,\\ \partial_t v = \Delta v + u^{q_1} v^{q_2}, & x \in \Omega,\ t > 0,\\ u = v = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega,\ t > 0,\\ (u(x,0), v(x,0)) = (u_0(x), v_0(x)), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

where $p_i \ge 0$, $q_i \ge 0$ $(i = 1, 2)$, Ω is a (possibly unbounded) smooth domain in $\mathbf{R}^N$ $(N \ge 1)$ and both u_0 and v_0 are nonnegative and locally integrable functions in Ω. Problem (4.1) was studied in [3, 6, 10, 11, 16], however, compared with problems (1.1) and (1.6), much

less is known about the conditions for the existence of the solutions of (4.1). In this subsection we apply the arguments in Section 3 to problem (4.1) and obtain sufficient conditions for the existence of the solutions. Furthermore, we give lower estimates on the blow-up rate of the solutions.

Let (u,v) be a positive (classical) solution of problem (4.1). Set $U := u^\alpha$ and $V := v^\beta$ for some $\alpha \geq 1$ and $\beta \geq 1$. Then (U,V) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U = \Delta U + \alpha U^{1+\frac{p_1-1}{\alpha}} V^{\frac{p_2}{\beta}} - \dfrac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}\dfrac{|\nabla U|^2}{U}, & x \in \Omega,\ t > 0, \\ \partial_t V = \Delta V + \beta U^{\frac{q_1}{\alpha}} V^{1+\frac{q_2-1}{\beta}} - \dfrac{\beta-1}{\beta}\dfrac{|\nabla V|^2}{V}, & x \in \Omega,\ t > 0, \\ U = V = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega,\ t > 0, \\ (U(x,0), V(x,0)) = (u_0(x)^\alpha, v_0(x)^\beta), & x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Put

$$A = 1 + \frac{p_1-1}{\alpha} + \frac{p_2}{\beta}, \qquad B = 1 + \frac{q_1}{\alpha} + \frac{q_2-1}{\beta}.$$

Let $\overline{w}$ be a solution of (1.6). Similarly to (1.1), we immediately see that $(\overline{w}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \overline{w}^{\frac{1}{\beta}})$ is a supersolution of (4.1). Then we apply the same arguments as in Sections 2 and 3 to obtain the following theorems.

Theorem 4.1 *Let Ω be a smooth domain in $\mathbf{R}^N$. Consider problem* (4.1)*. Then the same statements as in Theorem* 3.1 *and Corollaries* 3.1 *and* 3.2 *hold with P and Q replaced by*

$$\tilde{P} := N\left(\frac{p_1-1}{r_1} + \frac{p_2}{r_2}\right), \qquad \tilde{Q} := N\left(\frac{q_1}{r_1} + \frac{q_2-1}{r_2}\right),$$

respectively.

Proof. Let $1 \leq r \leq \min\{r_1, r_2\}$, $\alpha = r_1/r$ and $\beta = r_2/r$. Then, similarly to (3.5), we have

$$A = 1 + \frac{p_1-1}{\alpha} + \frac{p_2}{\beta} = 1 + \frac{r}{N}\tilde{P}, \qquad B = 1 + \frac{q_1}{\alpha} + \frac{q_2-1}{\beta} = 1 + \frac{r}{N}\tilde{Q}.$$

Then Theorem 4.1 follows from the same arguments in Section 3. □

We remark that $\tilde{P} = \tilde{Q} = 2$ if and only if

$$r_1 = \tilde{r}_1^* := \frac{N}{2}\frac{\delta}{1-q_2+p_2}, \qquad r_2 = \tilde{r}_2^* := \frac{N}{2}\frac{\delta}{1-p_1+q_1},$$

where $\delta := q_1 p_2 - (p_1-1)(q_2-1)$. On the other hand, by Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 we immediately obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2 *Let Ω be a smooth domain in $\mathbf{R}^N$.*

(i) *Assume that*

$$\frac{p_1-1}{\alpha} + \frac{p_2}{\beta} \leq 0, \qquad \frac{q_2-1}{\beta} + \frac{q_1}{\alpha} \leq 0, \tag{4.2}$$

for some $\alpha \geq 1$ and $\beta \geq 1$. Then problem (4.1) *possesses a global-in-time solution for any initial function $(u_0, v_0) \in L^\infty(\Omega) \times L^\infty(\Omega)$.*

(ii) *Assume* (3.11). *Furthermore, assume that*

$$1+\frac{p_1-1}{\alpha}+\frac{p_2}{\beta}>p_*(\Omega),\qquad 1+\frac{q_2-1}{\beta}+\frac{q_1}{\alpha}>p_*(\Omega), \tag{4.3}$$

for some $\alpha\ge 1$ *and* $\beta\ge 1$. *Then problem* (4.1) *possesses a global-in-time positive solution for some initial function* (u_0,v_0).

Remark 4.1 (4.2) *holds if and only if*

$$p_1\le 1,\quad q_2\le 1,\quad \delta\le 0.$$

This is the same condition as in Theorem 3.2 *in* [3] *and Theorem* 5 II-A *in* [10]. *Furthermore,* (4.3) *holds if and only if one of the following holds:*

- $p_1+p_2>p_*(\Omega)$ *and* $q_1+q_2>p_*(\Omega)$;
- $p_1<1$, $p_1+p_2\le p_*(\Omega)<q_1+q_2$ *and* $\delta>(p_*(\Omega)-1)(1-p_1+q_1)$;
- $q_2<1$, $q_1+q_2\le p_*(\Omega)<p_1+p_2$ *and* $\delta>(p_*(\Omega)-1)(1-q_2+p_2)$.

In the case $\Omega=\mathbf{R}^N$, *this is the same conditions as in Theorem* 5 I-A *and* II-B *in* [10] *and it is the optimal condition for the existence of global-in-time positive solutions of* (4.1).

On the other hand, similarly to Theorem 3.3 (i), we have:

Theorem 4.3 *Assume* $\tilde r_1^*>0$ *and* $\tilde r_2^*>0$. *Let* (u,v) *be the minimal solution of* (4.1) *in* $\Omega\times(0,T)$, *where* $0<T<\infty$, *such that*

$$\limsup_{t\to T}\left[\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}+\|v(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\right]=\infty.$$

Let $\ell_*\ge 1$ *be such that* $\ell_*\min\{\tilde r_1^*,\tilde r_2^*\}\ge 1$. *Then, for any* $r_1\in(\ell_* r_1^*,\infty]$ *and* $r_2\in(\ell_* r_2^*,\infty]$, *there exists a positive constant* c_1 *such that*

$$\liminf_{t\to T}\left\{(T-t)^{\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{r_1^*}-\frac{1}{r_1})}|||u(t)|||_{r_1,\rho(t)}+(T-t)^{\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{r_2^*}-\frac{1}{r_2})}|||v(t)|||_{r_2,\rho(t)}\right\}\ge c_1,$$

where $\rho(t)=\sqrt{T-t}$. *In particular,*

$$\liminf_{t\to T}\left\{(T-t)^{\frac{1-q_2+p_2}{\delta}}||u(t)||_{L^\infty(\Omega)}+(T-t)^{\frac{1-p_1+q_1}{\delta}}||v(t)||_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\right\}\ge c_1. \tag{4.4}$$

For upper estimates on the blow-up rate of the solutions of (4.1), see e.g., [21, Theorem 1.1], which shows that the lower estimate on the blow-up rate (4.4) is optimal.

Remark 4.2 *Similarly to Subsection* 3.2, *we can apply the arguments in this subsection to the* k*-component nonlinear parabolic system*

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t u_i=\Delta u_i+\displaystyle\prod_{j=1}^k u_j^{p_{i,j}}, & x\in\Omega,\ \ t>0,\\ u_i=0, & x\in\partial\Omega,\ \ t>0,\\ u_i(x,0)=u_{i,0}(x), & x\in\Omega,\end{cases}$$

where $i\in\{1,\cdots,k\}$, $p_{i,j}\ge 0$ $(j=1,\cdots,k)$ *and* $\{u_{i,0}\}$ *are nonnegative and locally integrable functions in* Ω. *We leave the details to the reader.*

4.2 Strongly coupled exponential nonlinearities

Consider the parabolic system with strongly coupled exponential nonlinearities

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u + e^{p_1 u} e^{p_2 v}, & x \in \mathbf{R}^N, \ t > 0, \\ \partial_t v = \Delta v + e^{q_1 u} e^{q_2 v}, & x \in \mathbf{R}^N, \ t > 0, \\ (u(x,0), v(x,0)) = (u_0(x), v_0(x)), & x \in \mathbf{R}^N, \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

where $p_i \geq 0$, $q_i \geq 0$ $(i = 1, 2)$ and both u_0 and v_0 are locally integrable functions in $\mathbf{R}^N$. Set $\tilde{u} := e^u$ and $\tilde{v} = e^v$. Then $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{u} = \Delta \tilde{u} + \tilde{u}^{p_1+1} \tilde{v}^{p_2} - \dfrac{|\nabla \tilde{u}|^2}{\tilde{u}}, & x \in \mathbf{R}^N, \ t > 0, \\ \partial_t \tilde{v} = \Delta \tilde{v} + \tilde{u}^{q_1} \tilde{v}^{q_2+1} - \dfrac{|\nabla \tilde{v}|^2}{\tilde{v}}, & x \in \mathbf{R}^N, \ t > 0, \\ (\tilde{u}(x,0), \tilde{v}(x,0)) = (e^{u_0(x)}, e^{v_0(x)}), & x \in \mathbf{R}^N. \end{cases}$$

Similarly to Lemma 2.2, we see that problem (4.5) possesses a solution (u, v) in $\mathbf{R}^N \times (0, T)$, where $0 < T \leq \infty$, if the problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \hat{u} = \Delta \hat{u} + \hat{u}^{p_1+1} \tilde{v}^{p_2}, & x \in \mathbf{R}^N, \ t > 0, \\ \partial_t \hat{v} = \Delta \hat{v} + \hat{u}^{q_1} \hat{v}^{q_2+1}, & x \in \mathbf{R}^N, \ t > 0, \\ (\hat{u}(x,0), \hat{v}(x,0)) = (e^{u_0(x)}, e^{v_0(x)}), & x \in \mathbf{R}^N \end{cases}$$

possesses a solution $(\hat{u}, \hat{v})$ in $\mathbf{R}^N \times (0, T)$. Then we can apply the arguments in Subsection 4.1 and obtain sufficient conditions for the existence of the solutions of (4.5). We leave the details to the reader again.

Acknowledgements. The first author was supported by the Grant-in-Aid for for Scientific Research (A)(No. 15H02058), from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. The second author was supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) (No. 24740107) from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science and by the JSPS Program for Advancing Strategic International Networks to Accelerate the Circulation of Talented Researchers "Mathematical Science of Symmetry, Topology and Moduli, Evolution of International Research Network based on OCAMI". The third author was partially supported by WCMCS, Warsaw.

References

[1] D. Andreucci, M. A. Herrero and J. J. L. Velázquez, Liouville theorems and blow up behaviour in semilinear reaction diffusion systems, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, **14** (1997), 1–53.

[2] G. Caristi and E. Mitidieri, Blow-up estimates of positive solutions of a parabolic system, J. Differential Equations **113** (1994), 265–271.

[3] H. Chen, Global existence and blow-up for a nonlinear reaction-diffusion system, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **212** (1997), 481–492.

[4] M. Chlebík and M. Fila, ¿From critical exponents to blow-up rates for parabolic problems, Rend. Mat. Appl. **19** (1999), 449–470.

[5] K. Deng and H. A. Levine, The role of critical exponents in blow-up theorems: the sequel, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **243** (2000), 85–126.

[6] F. Dickstein and M. Loayza, Life span of solutions of a strongly coupled parabolic system, Mat. Contemp. **32** (2007), 85–106.

[7] F. Dickstein and M. Loayza, Life span of solutions of a weakly coupled parabolic system, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **59** (2008), 1–23.

[8] M. Escobedo and M. A. Herrero, Boundedness and blow up for a semilinear reaction-diffusion system, J. Differential Equations **89** (1991), 176–202.

[9] M. Escobedo and M. A. Herrero, A semilinear parabolic system in a bounded domain, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **165** (1993), 315–336.

[10] M. Escobedo and H. A. Levine, Critical blowup and global existence numbers for a weakly coupled system of reaction-diffusion equations, Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal. **129** (1995), 47–100.

[11] L. C. F. Ferreira and E. Mateus, Self-similarity and uniqueness of solutions for semilinear reaction-diffusion systems, Adv. Differential Equations **15** (2010), 73–98.

[12] L. Grafakos, *Classical Fourier Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 2008.

[13] K. Ishige, K. Nakagawa and P. Salani, Power concavity in weakly coupled elliptic systems and parabolic systems, to appear in Nonlinear Analysis.

[14] K. Ishige and R. Sato, Heat equation with a nonlinear boundary condition and uniformly local L^r spaces, to appear in Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.

[15] H. A. Levine, The role of critical exponents in blowup theorems, SIAM Rev. **32** (1990), 262–288.

[16] G. Lu and B. D. Sleeman, Subsolutions and supersolutions to systems of parabolic equations with applications to generalized Fujita-type systems, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **17** (1994), 1005–1016.

[17] N. Mahmoudi, P. Souplet and S. Tayachi, Improved conditions for single-point blow-up in reaction-diffusion systems, J. Differential Equations **259** (2015), 1898–1932.

[18] P. Quittner and P. Souplet, Admissible L_p norms for local existence and for continuation in semilinear parabolic systems are not the same, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh **131** (2001), 1435–1456.

[19] P. Quittner and P. Souplet, *Superlinear Parabolic Problems, Blow-up, Global Existence and Steady States*, Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbücher, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007.

[20] R. G. Pinsky, The Fujita exponent for semilinear heat equations with quadratically decaying potential or in an exterior domain, J. Differential Equations **246** (2009), 2561–2576.

[21] J. Rencławowicz, Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of parabolic reaction-diffusion systems, Differential Integral Equations **15** (2001), 191–212.

[22] J. C. Robinson and M. Sierżęga, Supersolutions for a class of semilinear heat equations, Rev. Mat. Compltu. **26** (2013), 341–360.

[23] P. Souplet, Single-point blow-up for a semilinear parabolic system, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **11** (2009), 169–188.

[24] H. Zaag, A Liouville theorem and blowup behavior for a vector-valued nonlinear heat equation with no gradient structure, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **54** (2001), 107–133.